Shiraz, Sangiovese or Saperavi?
Consumer preferences for alternative red wine varieties assessed using projective mapping
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Background
• To understand consumer preference, sensory analysis is commonly combined with consumer liking data: this can be slow, expensive and give limited insight.
• Projective Mapping based on choice is a new rapid holistic method.
• Consumers assess the full wine set, position them according to preference, and write a short description of each group.

Task
• Projective mapping was performed by 56 red wine consumers under three conditions, with more and less familiar alternative wine varieties.
• A liking score for the wines under blind conditions was obtained, as well as sensory descriptive analysis data.
• Varieties were: Shiraz (as reference), Tempranillo, Sangiovese, Graciano, Montepulciano, Nero d’Avola, Lagrein and Saperavi.

Outcomes
• Both methods:
  - Shiraz and Lagrein, well-liked
  - Nero d’Avola, less-liked.
• From the conventional method:
  - Well-liked wines: strong fruit flavour, colour intensity and astringency
  - Less-liked wines: some ‘sulfide/reduction’ flavour, higher ‘red fruit’ and ‘confection’ flavour.

• The Projective Mapping: captures information regarding where, when, and with whom consumers might drink each wine.
  - Lagrein and Saperavi: well-accepted blind, less-so informed
  - Sangiovese: opposite trend
  - Many extrinsic factors such as the winery/brand, lack of familiarity and interest separated the wines.

Results

Conclusion
Projective Mapping with choice:
• is simple for consumers
• provides detailed information about their responses
• allows assessment of taste vs information dominance in preference
• gives less-informative sensory descriptors than sensory descriptive analysis.